ETH Zürich September 20, 2019

Deadline: Beginning of next lecture

Luca Corinzia, Gabriela Malenova, Ivan Ovinnikov, Paolo Penna

Algorithmic Game Theory

Fall 2019

Exercise Set 1

These exercises are **non-graded**. Please hand in your solutions at the beginning of next lecture (Sept 27) or by email (same deadline, to paolo.penna@inf.ethz.ch) to get feedbacks on your solutions.

Exercise 1: (1 Points)

Show that best response are not guaranteed to find pure Nash equilibria if they exist. That is, show a game such that (1) the game has a pure Nash equilibrium, but (2) best response on this game do not always terminate.

Exercise 2: (1 Points)

Consider the following weaker form of best response, called *better* response. A strategy $s'_i \in S_i$ is a *better* response to s if $u_i(s) < u_i(s'_i, s_{-i})$.

Show that there exist games in which (1) best response terminate always, but (2) better response do not terminate; that is, there exist a sequence of better response which cycles:

$$s^1, s^2, \dots, s^k, s^{k+1} = s^1$$

such that each s^{l+1} is obtained from s^l by letting one player changing his/her strategy into a better response to s^l .

Exercise 3: (3 Points)

Consider an arbitrary game with two players in which best response are guaranteed to terminate from any starting state. Suppose further that in this game best response are always unique, that is, if $s_i \in S_i$ is a best response to s, then

$$u_i(s_i', s_{-i}) < u_i(s_i^*, s_{-i})$$

for all $s_i' \in S_i$.

Prove that best response reach always an equilibrium in at most O(m) steps, where m is the number of strategies of each player. (Note that $O(m^2)$ is trivial.)

Discuss how the assumption that best response are unique can be dropped and still obtain an algorithm which finds a pure Nash equilibrium in O(m) steps.

Exercise 4: (3 Points)

In this exercise we ask you to prove a key part on the theorem regarding the convergence time in *Singleton Congestion Games*. Specifically, we have the following (see lecture notes):

1. Given the m resources, sort the set of delay values $V = \{d_r(k) \mid 1 \le r \le m, 1 \le k \le n\}$ in increasing order.

2. Define this alternative new delay functions: $\bar{d}_r(k) := \text{position of } d_r(k)$ in sorted list.

Your exercise is to prove the following:

Suppose $s \to s' = (s'_i, s_{-i})$ is an improvement step for some player i with respect to the original delays. Then $s \to s' = (s'_i, s_{-i})$ is an improvement step for i with respect to the new delays as well.

Here 'improvement step' means exactly better response (exercise above).