September 27, 2019

Deadline: Beginning of next lecture

Algorithmic Game Theory

Fall 2019

Exercise Set 2

These exercises are **non-graded**. You can submit your solutions at the beginning of next lecture (October 4) or by email (same deadline, to paolo.penna@inf.ethz.ch) in order to get feedbacks.

Exercise 1: (3 Points)

Fair cost sharing games are congestion games with delay functions of the form

$$d_r(x) = c_r/x$$

where c_r is a positive constant. (In these games, c_r represents the cost for building resource r, and this cost is shared equally among the players using this resource.)

- (a) Show that fair cost sharing games with n players are (n,0)-smooth.
- (b) For every n, give an example of a fair cost sharing game with n players whose price of anarchy for pure Nash equilibria (PoA) is at least n.

Exercise 2: (3 Points)

An ϵ -Nash equilibrium is a state $s \in S$ such that

$$c_i(s)(1-\epsilon) \le c_i(s_i', s_{-i})$$

for all players i and for all $s_i' \in S_i$. Prove that in congestion games with affine delay functions the cost of any ϵ -Nash equilibrium is at most $\frac{5}{2-3\epsilon}$ times the optimal social cost. (The social cost is the sum of all players' costs.)

Exercise 3: (3 Points)

In this exercise we consider load balancing games: There are m machines of identical speeds. Player i is in charge of one job of weight $w_i > 0$. Every player may choose a machine to process this job; his strategy set is therefore $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Player i's cost in state s is given as

$$c_i(s) = load_{s_i}(s) := \sum_{i': s_{i'} = s_i} w_{i'}$$
.

(Note that this is the load of the machine chosen by i, including w_i .)

Prove that every pure Nash equilibrium is a local minimum for the function f given by the sum of the squares of the machine loads:

$$f(s) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} (load_{\ell}(s))^{2}.$$

Explain how this implies that a pure Nash equilibrium exists. Does f satisfy the definition of potential game given in the lecture (Lecture 1)?

Exercise 4: (3 Points)

Consider again load balancing games introduced in the previous exercise. Now define the cost of a strategy profile as the *maximum load* among all machines:

$$cost(s) = \max_{\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}} load_{\ell}(s) . \tag{1}$$

Show that in these games $PoA \leq 2$ for any number m of machines, where PoA is the price of anarchy for pure Nash equilibria defined with respect to the cost function in (1).