



## Data Structures & Algorithm

## Solutions to Sheet P7

## AS 16

### Solution P7.1 *Presents and ribbons.*

First, having to match ribbon lengths and box side areas is just an additional complication to the core matching problem, since for every ribbon of length  $l$ , we can compute the only matching area as  $b = (l/4)^2$  if  $l$  is divisible by 4, and otherwise we know there can be no such box with a natural number as its side area. Even after this preprocessing of ribbon lengths, the remaining  $m'$  “ribbon areas”  $b_0 \leq b_1 \leq \dots b_{m'-1}$  are still sorted in ascending order.

We now have to solve the problem of matching the values in two sorted arrays  $A$  and  $B$  by equality. We can use an algorithm very similar to `merge(A,B)` from mergesort: We keep one index  $i$  into array  $A$  and index  $j$  into array  $B$  such that all numbers  $a_0 \dots a_{i-1}$  and  $b_0 \dots b_{j-1}$  have been either already matched or it is sure they do not have any match.

At every step, compare  $a_i$  to  $b_j$ . If  $a_i = b_j$ , we have a new matching pair and we advance both  $i$  and  $j$  by 1 (as neither can be used in another match). Otherwise if  $a_i < b_j$ , then all  $b_j \dots b_{m'-1}$  are larger than  $a_i$  and therefore  $a_i$  does not have a match, so we advance  $i$  by 1. Symmetrically we advance  $j$  by 1 if  $a_i > b_j$ .

We start the loop with  $i = j = 0$  and finish when  $i = n$  or  $j = m'$ , as then we ran out of ribbons or boxes (not necessarily at the same time, of course).

On the lecture website, you can find a solution running in time  $O(n+m)$  that does the length-to-matching-area transformation (skipping ribbons with length not divisible by 4) directly within the main loop. The solution source contains further comments on the implementation.

### Data

judge1  $m = 0, n = 1$ , a special case with no ribbons.

judge2  $m = 10\,000, n = 20\,000$ , random numbers with extra random matches and repetitions.

judge3  $m = 30\,000, n = 30\,000$ , random numbers with extra random matches and repetitions.

**Notes on submitted solutions.** Apart from programs using some slow search, there were several other problems: Some people used `double` values in stead of `int`, but floating point calculations might lose precision for higher values, and there might be rounding errors. In this case, this was easily avoidable. Another problem was dividing the ribbon length by 4, as this gives  $12/4=13/4=3$ , while ribbon of length 13 can never match. Note that using the `double` would not really help, as you need to somehow round it afterwards.